arXiv:1401.5739v1 [math-ph] 22 Jan 2014

LSZ reduction formula in many-dimensional theory with space-space noncommutativity

K. V. Antipin,[1] M. N. Mnatsakanova,[2] and Yu. S. Vernov[3]

[1] *Department of Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia. E-mail: kv.antipin@physics.msu.ru*

[2] *Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119992, Russia.*

[3] *Institute for Nuclear Research, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 117312, Russia.*

An analogue of the Lehmann—Symanzik—Zimmermann reduction formula is obtained for the case of noncommutative space-space theory. Some consequences of the reduction formula and Haag's theorem are discussed.

Keywords: LSZ reduction formula; noncommutative theory; axiomatic quantum field theory.

PACS numbers: 11.10.-z, 11.10.Cd

1 Introduction

It is well known that in conventional quantum field theory the LSZ reduction formula allows effective calculation of the scattering amplitudes from the Green functions [1]. In the present paper we analyze the applicability of this formula in the framework of noncommutative quantum field theory (NC-QFT). We will consider the case of a neutral scalar field in many-dimensional theory with space-space noncommutativity, so that the temporal variable commutes with the spatial ones.

Let us consider the general case of $SO(1,\, d)$-invariant theory with $d+1$ commutative coordinates (including time) and an arbitrary even number l of noncommutative ones. The commutation relations between l noncommutative coordinates have the form

$$\left[\hat{x}^i,\, \hat{x}^j\right] = i\theta^{ij}, \quad i,\, j = 1,\, \ldots,\, l, \tag{1}$$

where θ^{ij} — real antisymmetric $l \times l$ matrix. As we said, the rest $(d+1)$ variables commute with each other and all $\hat{x}^j$ from (1).

In order to formulate the theory in commutative space-time, we use the Weyl ordered symbol [2, 3] $\varphi(x)$ of the noncommutative field operator $\Phi(\hat{x})$:

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^l} \int d^l k \int \mathrm{Tr}\, e^{ik(x-\hat{x})} \Phi(\hat{x}), \tag{2}$$

and the corresponding multiplication law $\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2$ between the two symbols in the Weyl–Moyal–Groenewold form:

$$(\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2)(x) = \left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\partial'_\mu \partial''_\nu} \varphi_1(x') \varphi_2(x'') \right]_{x'=x''=x}. \tag{3}$$

Relation (3) admits further generalization: for the symbols (fields) taken at different points one can define twisted tensor product [3, 4]

$$\begin{gathered} \varphi(x_1) \star \ldots \star \varphi(x_n) = \prod_{a<b} \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\, \theta^{\mu\nu}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a^\mu}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b^\nu} \right) \varphi(x_1) \ldots \varphi(x_n), \\ a, b = 1, 2, \ldots n. \end{gathered} \tag{4}$$

Thus the algebra of field operators is deformed, and it is not clear whether one can apply the standard LSZ formula for the noncommutative fields or not.

2 Commutation Relations for Creation and Annihilation Operators in NCQFT

As in conventional field theory, a free real scalar field in NCQFT admits a normal mode expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= \varphi^+(x) + \varphi^-(x), \\ \varphi^\pm(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(d+l)/2}} \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{k})}}\; e^{\pm ikx}\, a^\pm(\vec{k})\Big|_{k^0=\omega(\vec{k})}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where $\omega(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$, $\vec{k}^2 = \vec{k_c}^{\,2} + \vec{k}_{nc}^2$, $\vec{k_c}$— commutative part of the $(d+l)$-dimensional vector $\vec{k}$, $\vec{k}_{nc}$— noncommutative part of the same vector.

Let us obtain commutation relations for the creation and annihilation operators $a^\pm$ directly from the assumption that the canonical quantization of a real scalar field in NCQFT is defined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} &[\varphi(x) \star \partial_0\varphi(y)]|_{x^0=y^0} = i\delta(\vec{x}-\vec{y}), \\ &[\varphi(x) \star \varphi(y)]|_{x^0=y^0} = 0, \quad [\partial_0\varphi(x) \star \partial_0\varphi(y)]|_{x^0=y^0} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Performing an inverse Fourier transform one can get the expression for $a^\pm$ from (5):

$$a^\pm(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(d+l)/2}} \int d\vec{x}\, e^{\mp ikx} \left[\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{2}}\varphi(x) \mp \frac{i}{\sqrt{2k_0}}\partial_0\varphi(x)\right]\Bigg|_{k_0=\omega(\vec{k})}. \tag{7}$$

Let us take the operator product $a^-(\vec{k})a^+(\vec{q})$ and multiply it by $e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}k_\mu q_\nu} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}ik_\mu(-i)q_\nu}$. Expanding the phase factor in a series, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}ik_\mu(-i)q_\nu}\, a^-(\vec{k})a^+(\vec{q}) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i/2)^n}{n!}\, (\theta^{\mu\nu}ik_\mu(-i)q_\nu)^n\, a^-(\vec{k})a^+(\vec{q}) = \\ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(d+l)}} \int\int d\vec{x}\, d\vec{y} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} &\frac{(i/2)^n}{n!}\, (\theta^{\mu\nu}ik_\mu(-i)q_\nu)^n\, e^{ikx}\, e^{-iqy} \times \\ \times \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{2}}\varphi(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2k_0}}\partial_0\varphi(x)\right) &\left(\sqrt{\frac{q_0}{2}}\varphi(y) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2q_0}}\partial_0\varphi(y)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Next, let us replace momenta k and q with the derivatives, using the relation

$$(ik_\mu(-i)q_\nu)^n\, e^{ikx}\, e^{-iqy} = (\partial_\mu\partial_\nu)^n\; e^{ikx}\, e^{-iqy}, \tag{9}$$

and perform integration by parts, so that the derivatives act on the field φ in each term of the series. Thus we obtain $\star$-product of the field operators:

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}k_\mu q_\nu}\, a^-(\vec{k})a^+(\vec{q}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(d+l)}} \int\int d\vec{x}\, d\vec{y}\, e^{ikx}\, e^{-iqy}\times$$
$$\times \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{2}}\varphi(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2k_0}}\partial_0\varphi(x)\right) \star \left(\sqrt{\frac{q_0}{2}}\varphi(y) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2q_0}}\partial_0\varphi(y)\right)\Big|_{k_0=\omega(\vec{k}),\, q_0=\omega(\vec{q})}. \tag{10}$$

Similarly,

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}q_\mu k_\nu}\, a^+(\vec{q})a^-(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(d+l)}} \int\int d\vec{x}\, d\vec{y}\, e^{ikx}\, e^{-iqy}\times$$
$$\times \left(\sqrt{\frac{q_0}{2}}\varphi(y) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2q_0}}\partial_0\varphi(y)\right) \star \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{2}}\varphi(x) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2k_0}}\partial_0\varphi(x)\right)\Big|_{k_0=\omega(\vec{k}),\, q_0=\omega(\vec{q})}. \tag{11}$$

Now, taking the fields $\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi(y)$ at equal moments of time $x^0 = y^0$ and subtracting (11) from (10), with the use of (6) we obtain:

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}k_\mu q_\nu}\, a^-(\vec{k})a^+(\vec{q}) - e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}q_\mu k_\nu}\, a^+(\vec{q})a^-(\vec{k}) = \delta(\vec{k}-\vec{q}), \tag{12}$$

or, in a more convenient form:

$$a^-(\vec{k})a^+(\vec{q}) = e^{-i\theta^{\mu\nu}k_\mu q_\nu}\, a^+(\vec{q})a^-(\vec{k}) + e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}k_\mu q_\nu}\, \delta(\vec{k}-\vec{q}). \tag{13}$$

In the same way we get

$$a^\pm(\vec{k})a^\pm(\vec{q}) = e^{i\theta^{\mu\nu}k_\mu q_\nu}\, a^\pm(\vec{q})a^\pm(\vec{k}). \tag{14}$$

Commutation relations (13) and (14) are equivalent to the ones obtained in [5] from general group-theoretical considerations involving the twisted Poincaré symmetry.

3 Analogue of the LSZ reduction formula for space-space NCQFT

In [4] it was proposed that the expression for the noncommutative Wightman functions has the following form:

$$W_\star(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \langle 0|\varphi(x_1) \star \ldots \star \varphi(x_n)|0\rangle, \tag{15}$$

where $\star$-product of fields taken at independent points is given by (4).

In accordance with (15) we suppose that the noncommutative Green functions are

$$G_\star(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \langle 0|T(\varphi(x_1) \star \ldots \star \varphi(x_n))|0\rangle, \tag{16}$$

where we defined time-ordered $\star$-product of fields as straightforward generalization of the usual T-product:

$$\begin{gathered} T(\varphi_1(x_1) \star \ldots \star \varphi_n(x_n)) = \varphi_{\sigma_1}(x_{\sigma_1}) \star \ldots \star \varphi_{\sigma_n}(x_{\sigma_n}), \\ x^0_{\sigma_1} > x^0_{\sigma_2} > \ldots > x^0_{\sigma_n}. \end{gathered} \tag{17}$$

Below we extend the classical proof of the LSZ formula [1, 6, 7] to the case of space-space NCQFT.

Let us single out the variable x_1 and consider the expression

$$\lim_{p_1^0 \to \omega(\vec{p}_1)} (p_1^2 - m^2) \int dx_1^0\, d\vec{x}_1\, e^{-ip_1x_1} \langle 0|T(\varphi(x_1) \star \ldots \star \varphi(x_n))|0\rangle. \tag{18}$$

Dividing integration over dx_1^0 into three parts

$$\int (\ldots)\, dx_1^0 = \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau} (\ldots)\, dx_1^0 + \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} (\ldots)\, dx_1^0 + \int_{\tau}^{+\infty} (\ldots)\, dx_1^0, \tag{19}$$

we denote the summands as $I_1(\tau)$, $I_2(\tau)$, and $I_3(\tau)$ respectively.

Using expression $(p_1^2 - m^2)e^{-ip_1x_1} = (\Box_1 - m^2)e^{-ip_1x_1}$ and performing integration by parts, we get:

$$\begin{gathered} I_1(\tau) = \int d\vec{x}_1\, e^{i\vec{p}_1\vec{x}_1 + i\omega(\vec{p}_1)\tau} \langle 0|T(\varphi(x_2) \star \ldots \star \varphi(x_n)) \star \\ \star (i\omega(\vec{p}_1) - \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau})\varphi(-\tau, \vec{x}_1)|0\rangle - \int_{-\infty}^{-\tau} dx_1^0 \int d\vec{x}_1\, e^{i\vec{p}_1\vec{x}_1 - i\omega(\vec{p}_1)x_1^0} \times \\ \times \langle 0|T(\varphi(x_2) \star \ldots \star \varphi(x_n)) \star (\Box_1 - m^2)\varphi(x_1)|0\rangle. \end{gathered} \tag{20}$$

Here $\Box_1 \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial(x_1^1)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial(x_1^2)^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial(x_1^3)^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial(x_1^0)^2}$, and τ is taken sufficiently large so that the permutation of $\varphi(x_1)$ to the last position on the right is possible.

Next, we use the Fourier-expression for $\varphi(-\tau, \vec{x}_1)$:

$$\varphi(-\tau, \vec{x}_1) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(d+l)/2}} \int dk_0\, d\vec{k}\, e^{-ik_0\tau}\, e^{-i\vec{k}\vec{x}_1} \tilde{\varphi}(k). \tag{21}$$

All derivatives in the $\star$-product will act on the factor $e^{-i\vec{k}\vec{x}_1}$ in the Fourier expansion of $\varphi(x_1)$. Therefore, additional factor $N(k_{nc})$ will appear. Note that $N(k_{nc})$ depends only on the noncommutative part of $\vec{k}$.

Let us also take into account the asymptotic representation for the field φ:

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} \int dk_0\, e^{it(k_0-\omega(\vec{k}))} \tilde{\varphi}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{k})}} a^+_{in}(\vec{k}). \tag{22}$$

Taking the limit $\tau \to \infty$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = \lim_{\tau\to\infty} I_1(\tau) = i(2\pi)^{(d+l)/2} \int dk_0\, (k_0 + \omega(\vec{p}_1))\delta(k_0 - \omega(\vec{p}_1))\times \\ \times \langle 0|T(\varphi(x_2) \star \ldots \star \varphi(x_n))N(p_{1,\,nc}) \frac{a^+_{in}(\vec{p}_1)}{\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{p}_1)}}|0\rangle = \\ = i(2\pi)^{(d+l)/2} \sqrt{2\omega(\vec{p}_1)} N(p_{1,\,nc}) \langle 0|T(\varphi(x_2) \star \ldots \star \varphi(x_n)) a^+_{in}(\vec{p}_1)|0\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

In this limit the second term of the expression (20) is equal to null.

Similar calculations for $I_3(\tau)$ will give:

$$I_3 = i(2\pi)^{(d+l)/2} \sqrt{2\omega(\vec{p}_1)} N(p_{1,\,nc}) \langle 0|a^+_{out}(\vec{p}_1) T(\varphi(x_2) \star \ldots \star \varphi(x_n))|0\rangle = 0. \tag{24}$$

As to the second summand in (19), $I_2(\tau)$ can be presented as

$$\begin{gathered} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1^0\, e^{-ip_1^0 x_1^0}\, \chi(x_1^0,\, \tau)\, F(x_1), \\ \chi(x_1^0,\, \tau) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x_1^0| \leqslant \tau; \\ 0, & |x_1^0| > \tau. \end{cases} \end{gathered} \tag{25}$$

The integrand contains a generalized function with compact support, so its Fourier-transform is a smooth function and doesn't have a pole. For this

reason

$$\lim_{p_1^0\to\omega(\vec{p}_1)}(p_1^2-m^2)\int_{-\tau}^{\tau}dx_1^0\int d\vec{x}_1\,e^{-ip_1x_1}\langle 0|T(\varphi(x_1)\star\ldots\star\varphi(x_n))|0\rangle=0. \tag{26}$$

Following similar limiting procedure over x_1 and x_2 consecutively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{p_2^0\to\omega(\vec{p}_2)}\lim_{p_1^0\to\omega(\vec{p}_1)}(p_2^2-m^2)(p_1^2-m^2)\int dx_1\int dx_2\,e^{-ip_2x_2-ip_1x_1}\times\\ &\times\langle 0|T(\varphi(x_1)\star\ldots\star\varphi(x_n))|0\rangle=\left[i(2\pi)^{(d+l)/2}\right]^2\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{p}_1)}\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{p}_2)}\times\\ &\times N(p_{2,\,nc})N(p_{1,\,nc})\langle 0|T(\varphi(x_3)\star\ldots\star\varphi(x_n))a_{in}^+(\vec{p}_2)a_{in}^+(\vec{p}_1)|0\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Now let us replace the secong procedure (over x_2) with the one corresponding to transition to the bottom sheet of the mass hyperboloid, that is $\lim_{p_2^0\to-\omega(\vec{p}_2)}(p_2^2-m^2)$. Making use of the asymptotic representation

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty}\int dk_0\,e^{it(k_0+\omega(\vec{k}))}\tilde{\varphi}(k)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{k})}}a_{in(out)}^-(-\vec{k}), \tag{28}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{p_2^0\to-\omega(\vec{p}_2)}\lim_{p_1^0\to\omega(\vec{p}_1)}(p_2^2-m^2)(p_1^2-m^2)\int dx_1\int dx_2\,e^{-ip_2x_2-ip_1x_1}\times\\ &\times\langle 0|T(\varphi(x_1)\star\ldots\star\varphi(x_n))|0\rangle=\left(i(2\pi)^{(d+l)/2}\right)^2\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{p}_1)}\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{p}_2)}\times\\ &\times[N(p_{2,\,nc})N(p_{1,\,nc})\langle 0|a_{out}^-(-\vec{p}_2)T(\varphi(x_3)\star\ldots\star\varphi(x_n))a_{in}^+(\vec{p}_1)|0\rangle-\\ &-\tilde{N}(p_{2,\,nc})\tilde{N}(p_{1,\,nc})\langle 0|T(\varphi(x_3)\star\ldots\star\varphi(x_n))a_{in}^-(-\vec{p}_2)a_{in}^+(\vec{p}_1)|0\rangle].\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The first term here is the contribution of $I_3(\tau)$, which is not equal to zero in this limit. Let us make the substitution $\vec{p}_2\to-\vec{p}_2$. We consider the scattering processes in which $\vec{p}_1$ — incoming momentum, $\vec{p}_2$ — outcoming momentum, and $\vec{p}_1\neq\vec{p}_2$. In accordance with (13) we can commute $a_{in}^-(\vec{p}_2)$ and $a_{in}^+(\vec{p}_1)$ in the second term of (29) so that $a_{in}^-(\vec{p}_2)$ can act on the vacuum state and give null.

We can repeat the above-mentioned procedure n times — until nothing is left under the time-ordered $\star$-product. Now the additional factor $N(p_{1,\,nc})\times$

$\ldots \times N(p_{n,\,nc})$ can be expressed in explicit form: each derivative ∂_μ in (4) should be replaced with ip_μ, and we have:

$$N(p_{1,\,nc}) \times \ldots \times N(p_{n,\,nc}) = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2}\,\theta_{\mu\nu}\sum_{a<b} p_a^\mu p_b^\nu\right]\Bigg|_{-p_{out}}, \qquad a,\, b = 1,\, \ldots,\, n, \tag{30}$$

where $|_{-p_{out}}$ means that outcoming momenta should be taken with the minus sign (as the result of the substitution $\vec{p} \to -\vec{p}$ we made earlier).

The final expression for the scattering amplitude:

$$\langle 0|a^-_{out}(\vec{p}_1)\,\ldots\,a^-_{out}(\vec{p}_k)\,a^+_{in}(\vec{p}_{k+1})\,\ldots\,a^+_{in}(\vec{p}_n)|0\rangle = \left[\frac{1}{i(2\pi)^{(d+l)/2}}\right]^n \times$$
$$\times\,\exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\,\theta_{\mu\nu}\sum_{a<b} p_a^\mu p_b^\nu\right]\Bigg|_{-p_{out}} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{p_j^2 - m^2}{\sqrt{2\omega(\vec{p}_j)}}\,G_\star(-p_1,\,\ldots,\,-p_k,\,p_n,\,\ldots,\,p_{k+1}), \tag{31}$$

where $G_\star(p_1,\,\ldots,\,p_n)$ — Fourier transform of the noncommutative Green function:

$$G_\star(p_1,\,\ldots,\,p_n) = \int dx_1 \ldots dx_n\,\exp\left[-i\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j\right]\,G_\star(x_1,\,\ldots,\,x_n). \tag{32}$$

Relation (31) is a noncommutative analogue of the LSZ reduction formula. This result corresponds to the one obtained in [8], authors of which didn't use the $\star$-product between the fields taken at different points and considered the Green functions with the usual time-ordered product of noncommutative fields. The difference between the two results is the additional phase-factor (30) due to the chosen form of the Green function (16).

4 Consequences

Now we can extend to NCQFT the considerations that were originally proposed in [9] for the case of commutative theory.

Suppose that we have two noncommutative $SO(1,\, d)$-invariant theories on Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$ respectively, related by a unitary transformation. Let φ_1 and φ_2 be two irreducible sets of field operators defined in $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{H}_2$. Let $< p'_1, \ldots,\, p'_n | p_1, \ldots,\, p_m >_i$, $i = 1, 2$ be inelastic scattering amplitudes of the process $m \to n$ for the fields φ_1 and φ_2 respectively. In accordance with the reduction formula (31)

$$\begin{gathered} < p'_1, \ldots,\, p'_n | p_1, \ldots,\, p_m >_i \sim \\ \sim \int d\, x_1 \ldots d\, x_{n+m}\, \exp\{i\,(-p_1\, x_1 - \ldots - p_m\, x_m + p'_1\, x_{m+1} + \ldots + p'_n\, x_{n+m})\} \times \\ \times \prod_{j=1}^{n+m} (\Box_j - m^2)\, \langle 0 | T(\varphi_i\,(x_1) \star \ldots \star \varphi_i\,(x_{n+m})) | 0 \rangle, \\ i = 1,\, 2. \end{gathered} \tag{33}$$

Let us also take into account the results obtained for the generalized Haag's theorem in the context of noncommutative theory [10, 11]. Namely, it was shown that in two $SO(1,\, d)$-invariant theories, related by a unitary transformation, the two-, three, $\ldots$, $d+1$-point Wightman functions coincide:

$$\begin{gathered} \langle 0 | \varphi_1\,(x_1) \star \ldots \star \varphi_1\,(x_s) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \varphi_2\,(x_1) \star \ldots \star \varphi_2\,(x_s) | 0 \rangle, \\ 2 \leqslant s \leqslant d+1. \end{gathered} \tag{34}$$

From (33) and (34) it follows that the amplitudes $< p'_1, \ldots,\, p'_n | p_1, \ldots,\, p_m >_1$ and $< p'_1, \ldots,\, p'_n | p_1, \ldots,\, p_m >_2$ coincide in the two theories if

$$m + n \leqslant d + 1. \tag{35}$$

References

[1] H. Lehmann., K. Symanzik, W. Zimmermann, *Nuovo Cimento* **1**, 205 (1955).

[2] M. R. Douglas, N. A. Nekrasov, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 977 (2001), [arXiv:hep-th/0106048].

[3] R. J. Szabo, *Phys. Rep.* **378**, 207 (2003), [arXiv:hep-th/0109162].

[4] M. Chaichian, M. N. Mnatsakanova, K. Nishijima, A. Tureanu, and Yu. S. Vernov, *J. Math. Phys.* **52**, 032303 (2011), [arXiv:hep-th/0402212].

[5] A.P. Balachandran, T.R. Govindarajan, G. Mangano, A. Pinzul, B.A. Qureshi, and S. Vaidya, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 045009 (2007), [arXiv: hep-th/0608179].

[6] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics Vol. 2* (McGraw-Hill Inc., 1964).

[7] C. Itzykson, J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory Vol. 1* (McGraw-Hill Inc., 1980).

[8] A.P. Balachandran, P. Padmanabhan, A. R. de Queiroz *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 065020 (2011), [arXiv: hep-th/1104.1629].

[9] M. Chaichian, M. Mnatsakanova, A. Tureanu, Yu. Vernov, *Classical Theorems in Noncommutative Quantum Field Theory*, preprint [arXiv: hep-th/0612112].

[10] K. V. Antipin, M. N. Mnatsakanova, Yu. S. Vernov, *Moscow Univ. Phys. Bull.* **66**, 349 (2011), [arXiv: hep-th/1102.1195].

[11] K. V. Antipin, M. N. Mnatsakanova, Yu. S. Vernov, *Phys. of Atom. Nucl.* **76**, 965 (2013), [arXiv: hep-th/1202.0995].